1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS	
2	EASTERN DIVISION	
3	IN RE: NATIONAL COLLEGIATE ATHLETIC ASSOCIATION STUDENT-) Docket No. 13 C 9116
4	ATHLETE CONCUSSION INJURY LITIGATION,	,) Chicago, Illinois) February 6, 2018
5	,) 9:00 o'clock a.m.
6		ROCEEDINGS - MOTION ORABLE JOHN Z. LEE
7	APPEARANCES:	
8	ATTEANANCES.	
9	For the Plaintiffs:	HAGENS BERMAN SOBOL SHAPIRO, by MS. ELIZABETH A. FEGAN
10		455 North Cityfront Plaza Drive NBC Tower - Suite 2410
11		Chicago, Illinois 60611
12		SIPRUT PC, by MR. TODD LAWRENCE McLAWHORN 17 North State Street
13		Suite 1600 Chicago, Illinois 60602
14	For Defendant NCAA:	LATHAM & WATKINS, by
15	nor poronaume mores.	MS. JOHANNA MARGARET SPELLMAN MR. MARC BRIAN KLEIN
16		330 North Wabash Avenue Suite 2800
17		Chicago, Illinois 60611
18		
19		
20		
21	ΔΙ ΕΧΔΝΌΒΔ	ROTH, CSR, RPR
22	Official C	Court Reporter
23	219 South Dearborn Street Room 1224 Chicago, Illinois 60604	
24		408-5038
25		

(Proceedings had in open court:)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE CLERK: Case 13 C 9116, NCAA Student-Athlete Concussion Injury Litigation.

MS. FEGAN: Good morning, your Honor. Elizabeth Fegan on behalf of plaintiffs.

MR. McLAWHORN: Todd McLawhorn for plaintiffs.

Johanna Spellman and Marc Klein for the MS. SPELLMAN: NCAA.

THE COURT: Good morning.

Fegan, what's going on with this notice So. Ms. program?

MS. FEGAN: So in anticipation of the filing of the notice administrator's declaration last week just 24 hours before it was due, in lieu of sending us a declaration they advised us that they had discovered over the weekend several files from schools that it sounds like from an initial -- from the initial description we received from them, when they were transferring the names over into the notice database, the spreadsheet had several tabs. They pulled information from one tap, not all the tabs, that the schools had submitted.

As a result, as of today we are aware of four schools for whom notice is not complete. And that comprises approximately 13,000 unique class members. They have not done a full audit, which is part of what we've discovered over the last week, despite assurances to us that that had been done

after Frostburg.

We have asked them what it would take to do an audit of every single submission from every single school to ensure that all class members for whom we received information received direct notice. They have told us as of last night that they think it would take two weeks. They will do it at their own cost, and we are recommending, your Honor, that we strike the current dates and put this over for two weeks, that we can get the results of that audit, determine how much additional notice -- whether this is it or whether there is more than these four schools, and at that time recommend to you a further schedule.

THE COURT: So this audit, that would encompass all of the submissions that the notice administrator received from all the schools?

MS. FEGAN: Absolutely, even ones where they already assured us notice has gone out. We asked them to go back.

Based on information and the way it was coming out to us, we are very uncomfortable with the way and the representations that have come to us before. And we want to avoid this problem.

THE COURT: This notice process has been --

MS. FEGAN: A mess.

THE COURT: -- quite frustrating. I understand why it took so long to get all the information from the various

schools because we are talking about hundreds of schools. But once all the information was received and once the subpoena process, and then once the information was received, I think I believe, I believe you believed too, that the notice administrator would then just go about sending out direct notice to everyone.

The Frostburg State incident seemed like an anomaly at the time. But it did set us back several months to provide -- so that those class members can provide notice and be provided notice and have an opportunity to have their say and object to any of the settlement, as well as the fee petitions.

I must say it doesn't instill a lot of confidence at the moment in my mind with regard to the way that the notice administrator has gone about its job with regard to providing notice.

So as part of this audit, will there be some sort of written product that's produced as a result of the audit?

MS. FEGAN: Yes, your Honor. We've asked them so far already to put in writing for us what they have done, where the mistakes lied, what they're doing to correct that, and what this audit will entail. Once the audit is completed, we are going to have that put all into a declaration from them, as well as the results of the audit so that there is full transparency, both about what occurred along the way but also what they've done to correct it, so that we can all get some

confidence that the notice program is complete.

But we certainly share your misgivings and -- about where we stand today.

THE COURT: And you said the two weeks is the time by which the audit will be done? Or when do you think you will be able to submit the declaration?

MS. FEGAN: They have said that they could have the audit done within two weeks. My suggestion is perhaps then we build in an extra week in case the declaration comes late and we have questions, because we want to make sure that all of our questions are answered, which will hopefully anticipate the Court's concerns.

THE COURT: We have a status hearing in the single-sport single-school track of the MDL on March 1 at 2:00 o'clock. So what I want is, I want the audit done by the 20th. I want the declaration to be filed by the 26th. We will set this case for further status on March -- let's see.

We have the March 1 hearing anyway. So we will keep that hearing. But I am going to set a status hearing as opposed to the final approval hearing, because we will have to wait to resolve this notice issue before the final approval can be given. And I want the notice administrator here, March 1 at 2:00 o'clock, in case I have any further questions. And so a representative from the notice administrator can attest to all the work that's been done and certify to the Court in person

that the audit has been completed, and that direct mail has been sent out.

The reason why the notice program has delayed things is that I continue to believe that direct notice is one of the best ways for the class members to receive notice of the settlement and the status of these proceedings. Given the fact that we're talking about approximately 13,000 people, we are talking about a significant number of potential class members here that need to receive notice.

So given that, I will again be amenable, although reluctantly, to reset the fairness hearing to a future date that we will determine later. I will enter an order today striking that date and changing it to a status hearing. And then on March 1, I will go ahead and set another date for the final approval hearing.

Are there any other interim deadlines between now and then aside from the deadlines that may be triggered by this additional notice requirement that need to be extended?

MS. FEGAN: There are not, your Honor.

May I just ask for one clarification? You indicated that you wanted the notice administrator on March 1 to certify, explain that the audit is done and also that direct notice has been sent. Are you suggesting that what they find we begin that direct notice program to them? Or do you want us to come on March 1 with a proposal for how that notice program as to

1	the remainder of the schools will roll out?	
2	THE COURT: I think that to the extent that notice can	
3	be sent out to the people that you have, the sooner the better	
4	MS. FEGAN: Great.	
5	THE COURT: I just want certification both by way of	
6	affidavit with regard to what's going to take place and the	
7	plan and how long it's going to take, and the notice	
8	administrator to be here so that if I have any questions I can	
9	ask notice administrator about where things stand.	
10	MS. FEGAN: Thank you.	
11	THE COURT: All right? Okay. Thank you.	
12	(Which were all the proceedings heard in this case.)	
13	CERTIFICATE	
14	I HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing is a true, correc	
15	and complete transcript of the proceedings had at the hearing	
16	of the aforementioned cause on the day and date hereof.	
17		
18	/s/Alexandra Roth 3/1/2018	
19	Official Court Reporter Date U.S. District Court	
20	Northern District of Illinois Eastern Division	
21	Lastern Division	
22		
23		
24		
25		